

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 10/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/976,194	10/11/2001	Joel C. VanderZee	D-2737/WOD	1444
7590 10/01/2004			EXAMINER	
William O'Driscoll - 12-1			WEST, JEFFREY R	
The Trane Company 3600 Pammel Creek Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
La Crosse, WI 54601			2857	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/976,194	VANDERZEE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey R. West	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1 -					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2004.						
,—	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
•						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-33 and 35-42</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14,16-33 and 35-42</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r clastion requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 June 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	n)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau		od III tillo I tational Glago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Pater Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	. a.o.a rippiioanon (i 10-102)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 40 and 41 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claims 40 and 42, to avoid confusion, "determine a power factor" should be something similar to ---determine an average power factor---.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 8-10, 20-24, 27-29, 39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,521,482 to Lang et al.

Lang discloses an apparatus for generating a data value representative of instantaneous three-phase power factor comprising a processor for simultaneously sampling voltage levels and current levels from power sources lines of a three-phase power system (column 6, lines 19-43) to form a set of voltage and current levels (column 7, lines 47-59 and column 8, lines 14-19), the processor being responsive to the set of voltage and current levels to generate the data value representative of instantaneous three-phase power factor (column 11, lines 4-20). Lang also

Art Unit: 2857

discloses sampling the sets of voltages and current levels at a predetermined sampling rate over a predetermined time interval (column 7, lines 47-59) and determining the power factor per line cycle (i.e. motor revolution) (column 17, lines 63-66)

Lang discloses that the processor is responsive to a voltage level subset and a current level subset of the set of voltage and current levels to generate real and imaginary component data values representative of a voltage phasor as part of generating the data value representative of the instantaneous three-phase power factor (column 9, line 30 to column 10, line 4).

Lang also discloses that voltage level subset comprises a first phase voltage level sampled from a first source line of the power source lines relative to a common voltage reference, a second phase voltage level sampled from a second source line of the power source lines relative to a common voltage reference, and a third phase voltage level sampled from a third source line of the power source lines relative to a common voltage reference (column 6, lines 39-54).

Lang also discloses that the current level subset comprises a first phase current level sampled from a first source line of the power source lines, a second phase current level sampled from a second source line of the power source line, and a third phase current level sampled form a third line of the power source lines (column 6, lines 19-38 and Figure 2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 6, 7, 11, 12, 25, 26, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,673,196 to Hoffman et al.

As noted above, the invention of Lang teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the invention of Lang does disclose the processor being responsive to phase current and voltage signals, Lang does not specifically specify that the processor is responsive to line current and voltage signals.

Hoffman teaches vector electricity meters and associated vector electricity metering methods comprising a processor (column 8, lines 1-19) for simultaneously sampling voltage levels and current levels from power source lines of a three-phase power system to form a set of voltage and current levels (column 3, lines 49-53 and Figure 1), wherein the processor is responsive to the set of voltage and current levels to generate a data value representative of thee-phase power factor (column 3, lines 53-55 and 62). Hoffman also teaches that the processor is responsive to a voltage level subset and a current level subset of the set of voltage and current levels to generate vectors as part of generating the value representative of the instantaneous three-phase power factor wherein the voltage level subset comprises

Art Unit: 2857

three phase voltage levels sampled from each of the three power source lines relative to a common voltage line (column 4, lines 24-30, column 7, lines 57-61, and Figure 1) and/or three line voltage levels sampled from a first power source line relative to another power source line (column 4, lines 12-19, column 7, lines 57-61, and Figure 1) and also wherein the current level subset comprises three phase current levels sampled from each of the three power source lines (column 4, lines 24-30, column 7, lines 57-61, and Figure 1) and/or three line current levels sampled from each of the three power source lines (column 4, lines 12-19, column 7, lines 57-61, Figure 1, and column 10, lines 26-32).

Hoffman also discloses sampling the plurality of sets of voltages at a predetermined sampling rate over a predetermined time interval to distribute the sample locations in the line cycle period (column 4, lines 19-23 and 30-49).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Lang to specify that the processor is responsive to line current and voltage signals, as taught by Hoffman, because Hoffman suggests that when monitoring voltage and current signals in a real environment, it is often required to determine these values based on different three-phase configurations with different values able to be obtained (column 10, lines 15-32) and therefore the combination would have provided means for determining the power factor in a wider variety of environments such as a common configuration with no neutral line.

6. Claims 13, 14, 16-19, 32, 33, and 35-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as

Application/Control Number: 09/976,194

Art Unit: 2857

being unpatentable over Lang in view Hoffman and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,434,738 to Kurszewski et al.

As noted above, the invention of Lang and Hoffman teaches many of the features of the claimed invention and while the invention of Lang and Hoffman does teach determining the power factor for a supply on a load such as a motor, the combination does not teach continuously generating a set of instantaneous three-phase power factor data values in order to check if a predetermined consecutive number of values are negative in order to declare a detection of a momentary power loss condition and command that the load of the system be temporarily disconnected.

Kurszewski teaches an apparatus and method for protecting induction motors from momentary power loss comprising continuously generating a set of instantaneous three-phase power factor data values (column 7, lines 35-64), determining whether the instantaneous three-phase power factor data values are negative, indicating a detection of a momentary power loss condition (column 6, lines 36-49), a plurality of consecutive times (column 9, lines 22-35) and, if so, commanding that the load of the system be temporarily disconnected (column 6, lines 18-27 and column 11, lines 36-38). Kurszewski also teaches plotting values representing the power factor as vectors with associated angles (i.e. phasors) (column 6, lines 49-64 and Figure 5).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Lang and Hoffman to include continuously generating a plurality of

instantaneous three-phase power factor data values in order to check if a predetermined consecutive number of values are negative in order to declare a detection of a momentary power loss condition and command that the load of the system be temporarily disconnected, as taught by Kurszewski, because, as suggested by Kurszewski, the combination would have allowed for the detection of momentary power supply faults and caused shutting down the motor due to such a condition thereby preventing costly damage to the motor and associated equipment (column 1, line 55 to column 2, line 2).

7. Claims 40 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lang in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,229,713 to Bullock et al.

As noted above, the invention of Lang teaches many of the features of the claimed invention including determining the power factor per line cycle (i.e. motor revolution) (column 17, lines 63-66) as well as determining the power factor based on RMS values (column 20, lines 11-20), but does not explicitly teach averaging the instantaneous power factor to determine a power factor.

Bullock teaches a method for determining electrical energy consumption by determining real power, reactive power, and a power factor (column 8, lines 8-13) wherein the power factor is determined instantaneously (column 11, lines 13-36 and column 13, lines 14-22) or averaged (column 14, line 59 to column 15, line 7) over a time interval (column 14, lines 52-58) such as a line cycle (column 5, lines 57-67)

Application/Control Number: 09/976,194

Art Unit: 2857

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention of Lang to teach averaging the instantaneous power factor to determine a power factor, as taught by Bullock, because Bullock suggests that the combination would have provided more detailed analysis of the performance system of Lang by including additional power factor data indicating the overall power factor performance rather than only instantaneous data (column 14, line 59 to column 15, line 7).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14, 16-33, and 35-42 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Saadat, "Power System Analysis" teaches the analysis of phase and line voltages and currents of three-phase wye and delta configurations wherein, in a wye configuration, phase currents are the same as line currents (page 33, 2.28) and, in a delta configuration, line voltages are the same as phase voltages (page 34, 2.29). Saadat also teaches that line voltages of three-phase systems are taken with respect to each other.

Application/Control Number: 09/976,194 Page 9

Art Unit: 2857

Grady et al., "Harmonics and How They Relate to Power Factor" teaches a method for determining a true power factor by determining the ratio of average power to apparent power over a plurality of harmonics (i.e. an average of a plurality of instantaneous power factors at each of the harmonics) (pages 1-2, "Power Factor in Sinusoidal Situations" and page 4, equation 14). Grady also teaches, in a separate embodiment, a method for compensating for distortion by adding shunt capacitors or active filters to remove the harmonics in order to determine the true power factor from an instantaneous//displacement power factor (page 5, paragraph 3).

- U.S. Patent No. 5,629,825 to Wallis et al. teaches an apparatus and method for detecting a fault in a distributed line network including means for determining a true three-phase power factor.
- U.S. Patent No. 4,174,499 to Waurick teaches a method and apparatus for the measurement of alternating-current power in transient and subtransient processes.
- U.S. Patent No. 5,456,040 to Yasotornrat teaches a three phase power factor correction device and method.
 - U.S. Patent No. 4,317,076 to Price teaches a power factor control system.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,160,374 to Hayes et al. teaches a power-factor-corrected signal stage inductive charger.
- U.S. Patent No. 5,124,624 to de Vries et al. teaches an arrangement for electrical measurement.

Art Unit: 2857

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. West whose telephone number is (703)308-1309. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (703)308-1677. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703)308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

jrw September 28, 2004

